

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/037,499	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CANDELORE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HOSUK SONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2135	

**All Participants:**

(1) H.SONG.

(2) MR.MILLER.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1,6,10,30,33,98*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the applicant that applicant's response/arguments filed on 3/29/06 is persuasive and overcomes the rejections. However, Examiner raised 101 issue with all the independent claims. Specifically, the claims lacks tangible result. Examiner indicated that claims needs to be amended to clearly distinguish how output is derived. Applicant will submt proposed amendment for examiner to review. Further, claim 30 will be amended to replace content with digital audio and video content. .